



"Brooks Patrick J."
<PBrooks@ssd.com>
08/11/2006 08:55 AM

To Elise.Feldman@usdoj.gov, Jason.Barbeau@usdoj.gov
cc "Craycraft, Kenneth R." <KCraycraft@ssd.com>, Catherine
Garypie/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
Alan.Tenenbaum@usdoj.gov, Maria
bcc

Subject RE: DOJ Stipulation

Please sign and send to Ken a pdf copy. Thanks

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.



367035

-----Original Message-----

From: Elise.Feldman@usdoj.gov [<mailto:Elise.Feldman@usdoj.gov>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2006 9:53 AM
To: Jason.Barbeau@usdoj.gov; Brooks, Patrick J.
Cc: Craycraft, Kenneth R.; Garypie.Catherine@epamail.epa.gov;
Alan.Tenenbaum@usdoj.gov; Cintron-Silva.Maria@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: DOJ Stipulation

We have reviewed the draft you forwarded with our client. Although not all parts of the administrative claim that we filed to meet the March 31st deadline were addressed by the custodial trust agreement, (notably, claims for administrative expenses pertaining to Ohio sites were not covered) we have discussed it with our client and are ok with the stipulation for the purposes of keeping the ball moving. Elise.

-----Original Message-----

From: PBrooks@ssd.com [<mailto:PBrooks@ssd.com>]
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2006 9:14 AM
To: Barbeau, Jason (ENRD); Feldman, Elise (ENRD)
Cc: KCraycraft@ssd.com
Subject: DOJ Stipulation

Elise and Jason:

I wanted to follow-up. I am out and have been out of the country on work since EP closed and have had little time to catch up. I understand there currently is a hearing on EPA's administrative claim set for 8/16. I previously circulated the attached stipulation as I think your admin. claims have been addressed by the EP Custodial Trust. Please let me know at your earliest convenience if the stipulation is acceptable, or if you disagree with my operating premise.

Please email me any responses.